

File 138

Houston Bounty

Abst-206 No. 100

Sucke Bush

No 3089

1476 Acres

See 1422 Mil. Bk. for Unlocated Balance List

Md. John Moff on map, see the surveyor's certificate at the end of the within Fieldnotes, in regard thereto. Otherwise

Correct on map. B. & H. 1851.

April 3/51 - C. & H. 1851.

July 23/51.

Correct for Patenting to original Smith

Warrant presented to the

Comptroller June 24/51

Bagley for

No 667 Vol 5

Patented July 24/51

Am. & C. O.

mkoprd in R.

M. G. 3342

Contents

1 Warrant

2 Fieldnotes

3 Sur. Cert

Aug 24/51

Lim

Matthew Bagley

July 24/51.

3834

Acres



KNOW ALL MEN TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

That

Luke B. Post having served faithfully and honorably for the term of *12* Months from the

day of *December* 1836 until the *First* day of

January 1837 and having been killed in the service is entitled to

Section 10 & 20 Acres Bounty Land, for which this is his CERTIFICATE.

And the said

Luke B. Post his heirs or assigns is entitled to hold said Land, or to sell, alienate, convey and donate the same, and to exercise all rights of ownership over it.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand, at


this *fourth* day of *April* 1837

Approved July 24, 1851

A. L. Upshur

Act. Adj. Genl.

SECRETARY OF WAR.



State of Texas

County of Houston
Survey for Luke Bass

of 1476 Acres of Land,
Being part of The Quantity

of Land to which he is entitled by virtue of a
County land warrant No 3089 issued the tenth day
of April 1838, by Barnard C. Bee Secretary of War.

Located in Houston County, about 7 miles E. by N. from Crockett

Beginning at the S. E. corner of D. Anderson's one third
League survey on the North boundary of Elijah Wheeler's
League a post from which a black oak 16 in di mth J. M.
bears N. 45. E. $5\frac{3}{10}$ rods and another 14 in di mth J. M.
bears S. 15. W. 12 rods. Thence North with said Andersons
line 2193 intersect Solomon Albright's survey a post from
which a Hickory 18 in di mth J. M. bears S. 79. E. $19\frac{9}{10}$ rods
and a black oak 10 in di mth J. M. bears S. 88. E. 10 rods.

1800 rods past his S. E. corner

Thence East with said Albright's South boundary
~~2100 rods past his corner~~ 3800 rods intersect

James English survey of 640 Acres a post from which
a post oak 20 in di mth J. M. bears N. 60. W. 2 rods and
a black oak 12 in di mth J. M. bears S. 82. E. $5\frac{6}{10}$ rods.

Thence South with said English and Wm M. Goodwin's
line 2193 rods intersect the N. E. corner of Elijah
Wheeler's League a post from which a Black Oak mth E. W.
bears S. 51. E. $11\frac{2}{10}$ rods and another mth E. W. bears S. 35. W. $10\frac{2}{10}$
rods.

Thence West with
said Wheelers North boundary 3800 rods to the
beginning. Run the 14th A. D. 1850.

James English } Chain
B. Hale } Men

J. H. Colvard
District Surveyor
for Houston County

Quercus
Lake Port
Field notes 1476 Area

2 mounds
 1 mound
 1 mound

1. The first mound was found on the left side of the road, about 100 yds from the shore. It was a small, conical mound of earth, about 10 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

2. The second mound was found on the right side of the road, about 200 yds from the shore. It was a larger, more irregular mound of earth, about 20 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

3. The third mound was found on the left side of the road, about 300 yds from the shore. It was a small, conical mound of earth, about 10 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

4. The fourth mound was found on the right side of the road, about 400 yds from the shore. It was a larger, more irregular mound of earth, about 20 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

5. The fifth mound was found on the left side of the road, about 500 yds from the shore. It was a small, conical mound of earth, about 10 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

6. The sixth mound was found on the right side of the road, about 600 yds from the shore. It was a larger, more irregular mound of earth, about 20 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

7. The seventh mound was found on the left side of the road, about 700 yds from the shore. It was a small, conical mound of earth, about 10 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

8. The eighth mound was found on the right side of the road, about 800 yds from the shore. It was a larger, more irregular mound of earth, about 20 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

9. The ninth mound was found on the left side of the road, about 900 yds from the shore. It was a small, conical mound of earth, about 10 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

10. The tenth mound was found on the right side of the road, about 1000 yds from the shore. It was a larger, more irregular mound of earth, about 20 ft high, and was covered with a thick growth of grass and weeds. It was surrounded by a low wall of earth, about 2 ft high, and was enclosed by a fence of brush and logs. The mound was found to be a natural formation, and was not the result of human activity.

I, J. H. Collard District Surveyor for Houston District
hereby solemnly swear under the oath of my office
that the survey designated by the foregoing plat and
field notes, was made by me on the 14th day of November, ¹⁸⁵⁰
-or, and that the lines courses and corners of the same
together with the marks natural and artificial are truly
described therein. Witness my hand this 14th day of
November A.D. 1850.

J. H. Collard
Dist. Surveyor for
Houston District

I, J. H. Collard District Surveyor for Houston District
hereby certify that I have examined the foregoing plat
and field notes and find them correct and the
survey made according to law, and that they are
recorded in Book E page 59.

Witness my hand this 14th day of November 1850.

J. H. Collard
Dist. Surveyor for
Houston District

I certify that I have examined the office thoroughly
and find no evidence of claim to land in favor of J.
Thompson except an order of survey and a survey made on it
said order from ^{Chas. S.} Taylor dated August 27th 1835. The survey
made by Bradshaw & field notes dated at the top Oct 10th 1835
produced up before ex. clerk by chain carrier ^{Feb. 15th 1841} and recd by
Geo Aldrich Nov 4th 1841.

J. H. Collard
District Surveyor for
Houston District.

Can will please see if the certificate enclosed is genuine
Bounty in favor of Luke Best

J. H. Collard

File 138 2
Houston County

Field notes 1476 acres
Luke Bust

Patented July 24/57
Emberr

I have and you \$2.42¹/₂ for patent a the within
field notes, if you can patent them send the
patent by mail to cracker. you can give
the credit for the overplus. March the 20th 1859

J. E. G. G. G.

The State of Texas, J. James H. Bellard
County of Houston District Surveyor
of the County of
Houston do hereby certify in my
official capacity that the survey
made for John Thompson of this
of a League of Land which
appears on the map and
records of this county has
not now got any part
of land right applied to it
superior to the land as
appears from the records
and field notes in my office
given under my hand
and private seal having
been official this 17th day
of July 4. 2 1854.

J. H. Bellard
District Surveyor
for Houston District

File 138 3
Houston - Bountty

Surveyor's certificate
No certificate filed in
Dist. office with John Moss's
1/3 league survey
